Weights of Cliques in a Random Graph Model Based on Three-Interactions

István Fazekas, Csaba Noszály and Attila Perecsényi

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, P.O. Box 12, 4010 Debrecen, Hungary,
e-mail: fazekas.istvan@inf.unideb.hu.

arXiv:1412.6746v1 [math.PR] 21 Dec 2014

Abstract

A random graph evolution rule is considered. The graph evolution is based on interactions of three vertices. The weight of a clique is the number of its interactions. The asymptotic behaviour of the weights is described. It is known that the weight distribution of the vertices is asymptotically a power law. Here it is proved that the weight distributions both of the edges and the triangles are also asymptotically power laws. The proofs are based on discrete time martingale methods. Some numerical results are also presented.

1 Introduction

It is known that many real-life networks are scale free. Such networks are the WWW, the Internet, certain social and biological networks (see e.g. [6]). A network is called scale-free if it has power law degree distribution, that is $p_k \sim Ck^{-\gamma}$ as $k \to \infty$, where p_k is the relative frequency of vertices with degree k. In [4] Barabási and Albert introduced the preferential attachment rule. In their model the growing procedure is the following. At every time $n = 2, 3, \dots$ a new vertex is added. The new vertex is attached to m existing vertices. The probability π_i that the new vertex is connected to the old vertex i depends on the degree k_i of that vertex, so that $\pi_i = k_i / \sum_j k_j$. A rigorous definition of the preferential attachment rule and a precise mathematical proof for the power law degree distribution were given in [5].

In [2] a 3-interactions model was introduced. The evolution in that model is a version of the preferential attachment rule. The power law degree distribution was obtained in [3]. In this paper we shall study the 3-interactions model so we present its detailed definition.

Our main results will be confined to the following model. The starting point at time $n = 0$ is one triangle. The initial weight of this triangle is one. This graph contains 3 vertices and 3 edges. Each of them has initial weight 1. After the initial step we increase the size of the graph. At each time step $n = 1, 2, \dots$ three vertices interact. The interaction of three vertices means the following. First we draw all non-existing edges between these vertices. So we obtain a triangle. Then the weight of this triangle and the weights of its

Key words and phrases: Random graph, preferential attachment, scale free, power law, submartingale, Doob-Meyer decomposition.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C80, 60G42.

The publication was supported by the TÁMOP-4.2.2.C-11/1/KONV-2012-0001 project. The project has been supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund.

vertices and edges are increased by 1. Here we should remark that any initial weight is 1. That is the weight of a new object (a new triangle, edge or vertex) is 1. The choice of the three vertices is the following.

There are two ways at each step. On the one hand, with probability p, we add a new vertex. I this case the new vertex and two old vertices interact. On the other hand, with probability $(1-p)$, we do not add a new vertex. In this case three old vertices interact. Here $0 < p \leq 1$ is a fixed number.

If we add a new vertex, then we should choose two old vertices and these three vertices will interact. To choose the two old vertices we have two options. On the one hand, with probability r, we choose an edge from the existing edges according to the weights of the edges. That is an edge of weight v_t is chosen with probability $v_t/\sum_h v_h$ (it is the preferential attachment rule). In this case the two end points of the edge chosen play the role of the two old vertices. On the other hand, with probability $1-r$, we choose two out of the existing vertices uniformly, that is all two vertices have the same chance.

If we do not add any new vertex, then three old vertices interact. To choose the three old vertices we have again two options. On the one hand, with probability q, we choose from the existing triangles according to their weights. It means that a triangle with weight w_t is chosen with probability $w_t/\sum_h w_h$ (preferential attachment). On the other hand, with probability $1-q$, we choose three out of the old vertices uniformly.

For the sake of brevity, a complete graph with m vertices we shall call an m-clique. In the three-interaction model we study the following cliques: vertices, edges and triangles. Our aim is to show scale free property for the weights of cliques. For vertices it was proved in [2]. For triangles it was announced in [7]. Our most interesting result is the power law weight distribution for the edges. The weight of an edge can be considered as the strength of the connection between its two end points.

The main results are included in Section 2. Theorem 2.3 is the power law weight distribution of the edges. Its proof is included in Section 3. Theorem 2.2 shows the power law distribution of the weights of triangles. As the result is true for the largest cliques in the general N-interactions model, we present the proof in the general case. Therefore Section 4 is devoted to the N-interactions model. In the proofs the basic method is the Doob-Meyer decomposition of submartingales. In Section 5 computer simulation results are presented.

2 Power law distributions of the weights

Throughout the paper $0 < p \leq 1$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $0 \leq q \leq 1$ are fixed numbers. Let

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{3}pr + (1-p)\,q, \qquad \beta = 2\,(1-r) + \frac{3\,(1-p)\,(1-q)}{p}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let V_n denote the number of vertices after the nth step. Let $X\,(n,w)$ denote the number of vertices of weight w after n steps.

First we quote Theorem 3.1 of [2]. It shows the scale free property for the weights of vertices.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 < p < 1$, $q > 0$, $r > 0$. Assume that either $r < 1$ or $q < 1$. Then for all $w = 1, 2, \dots$ we have*

$$\frac{X(n,w)}{V_n} \to x_w \tag{2.2}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, where x_w, $w = 1, 2, \dots$, are positive numbers satisfying the recurrence relation

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+1}, \qquad x_w = \frac{\alpha(w-1)+\beta}{\alpha w+\beta+1}x_{w-1}, \quad \text{if} \quad w > 1, \tag{2.3}$$

where α and β are defined by (2.1)*. Moreover,*

$$x_w \sim Cw^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)} \tag{2.4}$$

as $w \to \infty$, with $C = \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\beta+1}{\alpha}\right) / \left(\alpha\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right)$.

Here and in what follows $x_n \sim y_n$ means that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n/y_n = 1$. The power law degree distribution is also true (see [3]).

Let $K(n,w)$ denote the number of triangles with weight w, and let K_n denote the number of all triangles after n steps of the evolution.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 < p < 1$, $0 < q$. Then for all $w = 1, 2, \dots$ we have*

$$\frac{K(n,w)}{K_n} \to t_w \tag{2.5}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, where t_w, $w = 1, 2, \dots$, are positive numbers satisfying the recurrence relation

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{h+1}, \qquad t_w = \frac{h(w-1)}{hw+1}t_{w-1}, \quad \text{if} \quad w > 1, \tag{2.6}$$

where $h = (1-p)q$. Moreover,

$$t_w \sim Cw^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right)} \tag{2.7}$$

as $w \to \infty$, with $C = \frac{1}{h}\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right)$.

That is the weight distribution of the triangles is asymptotically a power law.

Now, we present the main result of this paper. Let E_n denote the number of all edges after the nth step. Let $E(n,v)$ denote the number of edges of weight v after the nth step. Let

$$a = \frac{1}{3}pr + (1-p)q, \quad b = \frac{2}{p^2}\left[p(1-r) + 3(1-p)(1-q)\right]. \tag{2.8}$$

Next theorem shows the asymptotic power law distribution of the weights of the edges.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$. Assume that either $r > 0$ or $(1-p)q > 0$. Then for any fixed v we have*

$$\frac{E(n,v)}{E_n} \to u_v \tag{2.9}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, where u_v, $v = 1, 2, \dots$ are fixed positive numbers. The numbers u_v satisfy the following recurrence relation

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{a+1}, \qquad u_v = \frac{(v-1)a}{va+1} u_{v-1}, \qquad v > 1. \tag{2.10}$$

The sequence $u_1, u_2, \dots$ is a proper discrete probability distribution. Furthermore,

$$u_v \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{a}\right)}{a} v^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{a}\right)} \quad as \quad v \to \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.3 will be given in Section 3. It is easy to see that Theorem 2.2 is true in a more general setting, that is in the general N-interactions model. Therefore in Section 4 we introduce the N-interactions model. We describe the asymptotic behaviour of the weights of the N-cliques (Theorem 4.1). We can see that Theorem 2.2 is a particular case of Theorem 4.1 for $N = 3$. We remark that in the general N-interactions model the power law distributions both for the weights and degrees of vertices are known (see [8] and [9]).

3 Proof of Theorem 2.3 and auxiliary results

Remark 3.1. Each edge has initial weight 1. As at the initial step we have one triangle, so $E_0 = 3$, $E(0,1) = 3$ and $E(0,v) = 0$ if $v > 1$. The weight of an edge can be increased by 0 or 1. An edge of weight v has taken part in interactions v-times.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ denote the σ-algebra of observable events after the $(n-1)$th step. We compute the conditional expectation of $E(n,v)$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ for $v \geq 1$.

Let

$$p_1(n,v) = \frac{v}{n}a + \frac{p^2}{V_{n-1}(V_{n-1}-1)}b. \tag{3.1}$$

The following lemma contains a basic equation of the paper. Let $E(i,0) = 0$ for any $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\delta_{1,v}$ denote the Dirac-delta. Then for $v \geq 1$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\{E(n,v)|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} = p_1(n,v-1)E(n-1,v-1) + (1-p_1(n,v))E(n-1,v) +$$
$$+ \delta_{1,v}\left[2p + p(1-r)\left(1 - \frac{E_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}\right) + 3(1-p)(1-q)\left(1 - \frac{E_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}\right)\right]. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. The cumulative weight of the edges after $n-1$ steps is $3n$. The cumulative weight of triangles after $(n-1)$ steps is n. An edge of weight v is included in triangles having cumulative weight v. Moreover, after $(n-1)$ steps, we have the following. When we choose two vertices uniformly at random, then the probability that a given edge is chosen is $1/\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}$. When we choose three vertices randomly, then the probability that a given edge is chosen is

$$\frac{V_{n-1}-2}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{3}} = \frac{3}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}.$$

Therefore the probability that an edge of weight v takes part in interaction at step n is

$$p\left(r\frac{v}{3n}+(1-r)\frac{1}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}\right)+(1-p)\left(q\frac{v}{n}+(1-q)\frac{3}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}\right)=p_1(n,v),$$

where a and b are defined by (2.8). These considerations explain terms

$$p_1(n,v-1)E(n-1,v-1)\quad\text{and}\quad(1-p_1(n,v))E(n-1,v)$$

in (3.2).

To explain the third therm in (3.2), first we remark that it measures the number of new edges, that is edges of weight 1. If a new vertex is born, then two or three new edges are born. Therefore we have term

$$2p+p(1-r)\left(1-\frac{E_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}\right).$$

If no new vertex is born, then new edge can be born when we choose three old vertices uniformly. So consider the experiment when we choose randomly three vertices of a graph having y vertices and e edges. Then the expected number of edges connecting the three vertices chosen is

$$\frac{e(y-2)}{\binom{y}{3}}=\frac{3e}{\binom{y}{2}}.$$

It explains term

$$3(1-p)(1-q)\left(1-\frac{E_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}\right)$$

in (3.2). □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0<p\le 1$. Assume that either $r>0$ or $(1-p)q>0$. Then for any fixed v we have*

$$\frac{E\,(n,v)}{np}\to e_v \tag{3.3}$$

almost surely as $n\to\infty$, where e_v, $v=1,2,\dots,$ are fixed positive numbers. Furthermore, the numbers e_v satisfy the following recurrence relation

$$e_1=\frac{p(3-r)+3(1-p)(1-q)}{(a+1)p},\quad e_v=\frac{(v-1)a}{va+1}e_{v-1},\qquad v>1. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Introduce notation

$$c(n,v)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-p_1(i,v)\right)^{-1},\quad n\ge 1,\ v\ge 1. \tag{3.5}$$

$c(n,v)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$-measurable random variable. We see that V_n is the sum of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with success probability p. Therefore, applying the Marcinkiewicz strong law of large numbers to the number of vertices, we have

$$V_n=pn+\mathrm{o}\left(n^{1/2+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{3.6}$$

almost surely, for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Equation (3.6) means that $\lim_{n\to\infty}(V_n - pn)/(n^{1/2+\varepsilon}) = 0$ almost surely.

Using (3.6) and the Taylor expansion for $\log(1+x)$, we obtain

$$\log c\,(n,v) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 - \frac{av}{i} - \frac{bp^2}{V_{i-1}\,(V_{i-1}-1)}\right) =$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 - \frac{av}{i} - \frac{b}{i^2 + \mathrm{o}\,(i^{3/2+\varepsilon})}\right) = va\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{i} + \mathrm{O}\,(1)\,,$$

where the error term is convergent as $n \to \infty$. Therefore

$$c(n,v) \sim a_v n^{av} \tag{3.7}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, where a_v is a positive random variable.

Let

$$Z\,(n,v) = c\,(n,v)\,E\,(n,v) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le v.$$

Using (3.2), we can see that $\{Z\,(n,v)\,, \mathcal{F}_n, n = 1,2,\dots\}$ is a non-negative submartingale for any fixed $v \ge 1$. Applying the Doob-Meyer decomposition to $Z\,(n,v)$, we obtain

$$Z\,(n,v) = M\,(n,v) + A\,(n,v)\,,$$

where $M\,(n,v)$ is a martingale and $A\,(n,v)$ is a predictable increasing process. The general form of $A\,(n,v)$ is the following:

$$A\,(n,v) = \mathbb{E}Z\,(1,v) + \sum_{i=2}^{n}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(Z\,(i,v)\,|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right) - Z\,(i-1,v)\right]. \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.2) and (3.8), we obtain

$$A\,(n,v) = \mathbb{E}Z\,(1,v) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} c\,(i,v)\left[p_1(i,v-1)E\,(i-1,v-1) + \delta_{1,v}Q\right], \tag{3.9}$$

where Q denotes an appropriate term. Let $B\,(n,v)$ be the sum of the conditional variances of the process $Z\,(n,v)$. We give an upper bound of $B\,(n,v)$.

$$B\,(n,v) = \sum_{i=2}^{n}\mathbb{D}^2\left(Z\,(i,v)\,|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right) = \sum_{i=2}^{n}\mathbb{E}\{\left(Z\,(i,v) - \mathbb{E}\left(Z\,(i,v)\,|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right)^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n} c\,(i,v)^2\,\mathbb{E}\{\left(E\,(i,v) - \mathbb{E}\left(E\,(i,v)\,|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right)^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\} \le \tag{3.10}$$

$$\le \sum_{i=2}^{n} c\,(i,v)^2\,\mathbb{E}\{\left(E\,(i,v) - E\,(i-1,v)\right)^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\} \le 9\sum_{i=2}^{n} c\,(i,v)^2 = \mathrm{O}\left(n^{2va+1}\right).$$

Above we used that $c\,(i,v)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$-measurable, applied known properties of the conditional expectation, and took into account that at each step at most three edges are involved in interaction.

Now we prove (3.3) by induction. First let $v=1$. By (3.9), (3.6), (3.15) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A\,(n,1) &= \mathbb{E}Z\,(1,1)+\\ &+\sum_{i=2}^{n} c\,(i,1)\left[2p+p(1-r)\left(1-\frac{E_{i-1}}{\binom{V_{i-1}}{2}}\right)+3(1-p)(1-q)\left(1-\frac{E_{i-1}}{\binom{V_{i-1}}{2}}\right)\right]\sim\\ &\sim\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_1 i^a\left[2p+p(1-r)+3(1-p)(1-q)\right]\sim\\ &\sim a_1\frac{n^{a+1}}{a+1}\left[2p+p(1-r)+3(1-p)(1-q)\right] \qquad (3.11)\end{aligned}$$

a.s. as $n\to\infty$. By (3.10), $B\,(n,1)=\mathrm{O}\,(n^{2a+1})$ and therefore $(B\,(n,1))^{\frac{1}{2}}\log B\,(n,1)=\mathrm{O}\,(A\,(n,1))$. It follows from Proposition VII-2-4 of [10] that

$$Z\,(n,1)\sim A\,(n,1)\quad \text{a.s. on the event}\quad \{A\,(n,1)\to\infty\}\quad \text{as}\quad n\to\infty. \qquad (3.12)$$

As, by (3.11), $A(n,1)\to\infty$ a.s., therefore using (3.7), relation (3.12) implies

$$\frac{E\,(n,1)}{np}=\frac{Z\,(n,1)}{c\,(n,1)\,np}\sim\frac{A\,(n,1)}{c\,(n,1)\,np}\sim\frac{a_1\frac{n^{a+1}}{a+1}\left[2p+p(1-r)+3(1-p)(1-q)\right]}{a_1 n^a pn}=$$

$$=\frac{p(3-r)+3(1-p)(1-q)}{(a+1)p}=e_1>0$$

almost surely. So (3.3) is valid for $v=1$.

Now, let $v>1$ and suppose that the statement (3.3) is true for $v-1$. Then by (3.6), (3.7), (3.9) and using the induction hypothesis, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}A\,(n,v) &= \mathbb{E}Z\,(1,v)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} c\,(i,v)\,p_1(i,v-1)E\,(i-1,v-1)\sim\\ &\sim\sum_{i=2}^{n} c\,(i,v)\,e_{v-1}ip\left(\frac{a(v-1)}{i}+\frac{b}{i^2}\right)\sim e_{v-1}pa(v-1)a_v\frac{n^{va+1}}{va+1}.\end{aligned}$$

We see that $A(n,v)\to\infty$ a.s. We also have $(B\,(n,v))^{\frac{1}{2}}\log B\,(n,v)=\mathrm{O}\,(A\,(n,v))$. So, using Proposition VII-2-4 of [10], we have $Z\,(n,v)\sim A\,(n,v)$. Therefore

$$\frac{E\,(n,v)}{np}=\frac{Z\,(n,v)}{c\,(n,v)\,np}\sim\frac{A\,(n,v)}{c\,(n,v)\,np}\sim\frac{a_v e_{v-1}pa(v-1)\frac{n^{av+1}}{va+1}}{a_v n^{av}pn}=e_{v-1}\frac{a(v-1)}{va+1}=e_v.$$

Therefore (3.3) is valid. □

The following lemma contains the basic equation for the number of edges.

Lemma 3.2.

$$\mathbb{E}\{E_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} = \varrho_{n-1}E_{n-1} + A, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\varrho_{n-1} = 1 - \frac{2p(1-r) + 6(1-p)(1-q)}{V_{n-1}(V_{n-1}-1)} \quad \textit{and} \quad A = p(3-r) + 3(1-p)(1-q). \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. As the number of edges increases if and only if when the number of edges of weight 1 increases, therefore by (3.2), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\{E_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} = E_{n-1} + 2p + p(1-r)\left(1 - \frac{E_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}\right) + 3(1-p)(1-q)\left(1 - \frac{E_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{2}}\right).$$

It implies (3.13). □

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $0 < p \leq 1$. *Then*

$$\frac{E_n}{n} \to A \tag{3.15}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, *where* A *is defined by* (3.14).

Proof. First we remark that $\varrho_n > 0$ because $V_n \geq 3$. Introduce notation $Q_1 = 1$,

$$Q_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\varrho_i)^{-1}, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{3.16}$$

Then Q_n is an $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$-measurable random variable. Let

$$Z_n = Q_n E_n \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq n.$$

Using (3.13), we can see that

$$\mathbb{E}\{Z_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} = Z_{n-1} + AQ_n. \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore $\{Z_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a non-negative submartingale. Let A_n be the predictable increasing process in the Doob-Meyer decomposition of Z_n. By (3.17), we obtain

$$A_n = \mathbb{E}Z_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[\mathbb{E}\left(Z_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right) - Z_{i-1}\right] = \mathbb{E}Z_1 + A\sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i. \tag{3.18}$$

Using (3.6) and the Taylor expansion for $\log(1+x)$, we can obtain upper and lower bounds for Q_i. Then

$$C_1 n < A_n < C_2 n,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are finite positive random variables. Let B_n be the sum of the conditional variances of the process Z_n. We give an upper bound for B_n.

$$B_n \le \sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i^2 \mathbb{E}\{(E_i - E_{i-1})^2 \,|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\} \le 9 \sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i^2 \le C_3 n, \tag{3.19}$$

where C_3 is a fixed finite random variable. Above we used that Q_i is $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$-measurable and at each step at most three edges are involved in interaction. Therefore $B_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log B_n = \mathrm{O}(A_n)$ almost surely. It follows from Proposition VII-2-4 of [10] that $Z_n \sim A_n$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. Therefore

$$\frac{E_n}{n} = \frac{Z_n}{Q_n n} \sim \frac{A_n}{Q_n n} = \frac{\mathbb{E}Z_1}{Q_n n} + A \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i \to A \quad \text{a.s.}$$

because the sequence Q_n is positive, increasing and has a finite limit almost surely. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2,

$$\frac{E(n,v)}{E_n} = \frac{pE(n,v)}{np} \Big/ \frac{E_n}{n} \to \frac{pe_v}{A} = u_v$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore,

$$u_1 = \frac{pe_1}{A} = \frac{1}{a+1}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.4) by p/A, we obtain

$$u_v = \frac{(v-1)a}{va+1} u_{v-1}, \qquad v > 1.$$

Using this equation, we see that

$$u_v = u_1 \frac{\Gamma(v)\,\Gamma\left(2+\frac{1}{a}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+v+\frac{1}{a}\right)}. \tag{3.20}$$

Now, by the Stirling formula,

$$u_v \sim u_1 \Gamma\left(2+\frac{1}{a}\right) v^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{a}\right)} = \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{a}\right)}{a} v^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{a}\right)} \tag{3.21}$$

as $v \to \infty$. We need the following formula (it is included in [11] and it can be proved by mathematical induction)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(k+s)}{\Gamma(k+t)} = \frac{1}{s-t+1} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+s+1)}{\Gamma(n+t)} - \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(t-1)} \right].$$

This formula, (3.20) and Stirling's formula imply $\sum_{v=1}^{n} u_v \to 1$, as $n \to \infty$. So $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} u_v = 1$. Therefore the sequence $u_1, u_2, \ldots$ is a proper discrete probability distribution. □

4 The N-cliques in the N-interactions model

Throughout this section we shall study the following N-interactions model (see [9]). For the sake of brevity, a complete graph with m vertices we call an m-clique. Let $N \geq 3$ be a fixed integer. At time $n = 0$ we start with an N-clique. Let the initial weight of this graph and the initial weights of its sub-cliques be one. (This graph contains N vertices, $\binom{N}{2}$ edges, ..., $\binom{N}{m}$ m-cliques ($m \leq N$). Each of these objects has initial weight 1.) During the evolution the weight of any new clique is 1. At each time step the evolution of the graph is based on the interaction of N vertices. The interaction means the following. At each step $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we consider N vertices and draw all non-existing edges between those vertices. So we obtain an N-clique. The weight of this N-clique and the weights of all its sub-cliques are increased by 1. (That is we increase the weights of N vertices, $\binom{N}{2}$ edges, ..., N different $(N-1)$-cliques and the N-clique itself.) The selection of the N vertices to interact is the following.

There are two possibilities at each step. On the one hand, with probability p, we add a new vertex and the new vertex and $N-1$ old vertices will interact. On the other hand, with probability $(1-p)$, we do not add any new vertex, but N old vertices interact. Here $0 < p \leq 1$ is fixed.

When we add a new vertex, then we choose $N-1$ old vertices and those N vertices will interact. However, to choose the $N-1$ old vertices we have two options. With probability r we choose an $(N-1)$-clique from the existing $(N-1)$-cliques according to the weights of the $(N-1)$-cliques. It means that an $(N-1)$-clique of weight w_t is chosen with probability $w_t / \sum_h w_h$ (preferential attachment). On the other hand, with probability $1-r$, we choose $N-1$ out of the existing vertices uniformly, that is all sets consisting of $N-1$ vertices have the same chance.

At a step when we do not add a new vertex, N old vertices interact. We have again two options to choose the N old vertices. With probability q we choose one N-clique out of the existing N-cliques according to their weights. It means that the probability that we choose an N-clique is proportional to its weight (preferential attachment). On the other hand, with probability $1-q$, we choose from the existing vertices uniformly, that is all subsets consisting of N vertices have the same chance.

Let $K(n, w)$ denote the number of N-cliques with weight w, and let K_n denote the number of all N-cliques after n steps of the evolution.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $0 < p < 1$, $0 < q$. Then for all $w = 1, 2, \dots$ we have*

$$\frac{K(n, w)}{K_n} \to t_w \tag{4.1}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, where t_w, $w = 1, 2, \dots$, are positive numbers satisfying the recurrence relation

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{h+1}, \qquad t_w = \frac{h(w-1)}{hw+1} t_{w-1}, \quad \text{if} \quad w > 1, \tag{4.2}$$

where $h = (1-p)q$. Moreover,

$$t_w \sim C w^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right)} \tag{4.3}$$

as $w \to \infty$, with $C = \frac{1}{h} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{h}\right)$.

That is the weight distribution of the N-cliques follows asymptotically the same power law as the weight distribution of the triangles in the three interactions model. In [7] a heuristic argument was presented to support the above theorem.

Remark 4.1. As at the initial step we have one N-clique, so $K_0 = 1$, $K(0,1) = 1$ and $K(0,w) = 0$ if $w > 1$. At any step the weight of a clique can be increased by 0 or 1. A clique of weight w has taken part in interactions w-times.

We compute the conditional expectation of $K(n,w)$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ for $w \geq 1$. Let

$$p(n,w) = (1-p)\left[q\frac{w}{n} + (1-q)\frac{1}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{N}}\right]. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $K(n,0) = 0$ for any n. For $n, w \geq 1$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\{K(n,w)|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} = p(n,w-1)K(n-1,w-1) + (1-p(n,w))K(n-1,w) + \\ + \delta_{1,w}\left[p + (1-p)(1-q)\left(1 - \frac{K_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{N}}\right)\right]. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. The probability that the weight of an N-clique of weight $w-1$ is increased at step n is $p(n,w-1)$. The probability that the weight of an N-clique of weight w is not increased at step n is $1-p(n,w)$. The probability that a new N-clique is born at step n is

$$p + (1-p)(1-q)\left(1 - \frac{K_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{N}}\right).$$

So we obtain (4.5). □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $0 < q$. For any fixed w we have*

$$\frac{K(n,w)}{n} \to k_w \tag{4.6}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, where k_w, $w = 1, 2, \ldots$, are fixed non-negative numbers. Furthermore, the numbers k_w satisfy the following recurrence relation

$$k_1 = \frac{1-h}{h+1}, \quad k_w = \frac{(w-1)h}{wh+1}k_{w-1}, \qquad w > 1. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. Introduce notation

$$c(n,w) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-p(i,w))^{-1}, \quad n \geq 1,\ w \geq 1. \tag{4.8}$$

$c(n,w)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$-measurable random variable. Using (3.6) and the Taylor expansion for $\log(1+x)$, we obtain

$$\log c(n,w) = (wh)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{i} + \mathrm{O}(1),$$

where the error term is convergent as $n \to \infty$. Therefore

$$c(n,w) \sim h_w n^{hw} \tag{4.9}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, where h_w is a positive random variable.

Let

$$Z(n,w) = c(n,w)\, K(n,w) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le w.$$

Using (4.5), we can see that $\{Z(n,w), \mathcal{F}_n, n = 1,2,,\dots\}$ is a non-negative submartingale for any fixed $w \ge 1$. We apply the Doob-Meyer decomposition to $Z(n,w)$. Using (4.5) and (3.8), we obtain that the predictable increasing process is

$$A(n,w) = \mathbb{E}Z(1,w) + \tag{4.10}$$
$$+\sum_{i=2}^{n} c(i,w)\left[p(i,w-1)K(i-1,w-1) + \delta_{1,w}\left[p + (1-p)(1-q)\left(1 - \frac{K_{i-1}}{\binom{V_{i-1}}{N}}\right)\right]\right].$$

Let $B(n,w)$ be the sum of the conditional variances of the process $Z(n,w)$. An upper bound of $B(n,w)$ is

$$B(n,w) \le \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left(c(i,w)\right)^2 = \mathrm{O}\left(n^{2wh+1}\right). \tag{4.11}$$

Above we used that at each step at most one N-clique is involved in interaction.

Now we use induction on w. Let $w = 1$. By (4.10) and (4.9), we obtain

$$A(n,1) = \mathbb{E}Z(1,1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} c(i,1)\left[p + (1-p)(1-q)\left(1 - \frac{K_{i-1}}{\binom{V_{i-1}}{N}}\right)\right] \sim \tag{4.12}$$
$$\sim h_1 \frac{n^{h+1}}{h+1}(1-h)$$

a.s. as $n \to \infty$. By (4.11), $B(n,1) = \mathrm{O}\left(n^{2h+1}\right)$ and therefore $(B(n,1))^{\frac{1}{2}} \log B(n,1) = \mathrm{O}(A(n,1))$. It follows from Proposition VII-2-4 of [10] that

$$Z(n,1) \sim A(n,1) \quad \text{a.s. on the event} \quad \{A(n,1) \to \infty\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{4.13}$$

As, by (4.12), $A(n,1) \to \infty$ a.s., therefore using (4.9), relation (4.13) implies

$$\frac{K(n,1)}{n} = \frac{Z(n,1)}{c(n,1)\,n} \sim \frac{A(n,1)}{c(n,1)\,n} \sim \frac{h_1 \frac{n^{h+1}}{h+1}(1-h)}{h_1 n^h n} = \frac{1-h}{h+1} = k_1 > 0$$

almost surely. So (4.6) is valid for $w = 1$.

Now, let $w > 1$ and suppose that the statement is true for $w-1$. Then by (3.6), (4.9), (4.10) and using the induction hypothesis, we see that

$$A(n,w) = \mathbb{E}Z(1,w) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} c(i,w)\, p(i,w-1)K(i-1,w-1) \sim$$
$$\sim \sum_{i=2}^{n} i^{wh} h_w k_{w-1} i \left(\frac{h(w-1)}{i} + \frac{(1-p)(1-q)}{\binom{V_{i-1}}{N}}\right) \sim k_{w-1} h(w-1) h_w \frac{n^{wh+1}}{wh+1}.$$

We see that $A(n,w) \to \infty$ a.s. We also have $(B(n,w))^{\frac{1}{2}} \log B(n,w) = \mathrm{O}(A(n,w))$. So, using Proposition VII-2-4 of [10], we have $Z(n,w) \sim A(n,w)$. Therefore

$$\frac{K(n,w)}{n} = \frac{Z(n,w)}{c(n,w)\,n} \sim \frac{A(n,w)}{c(n,w)\,n} \sim \frac{k_{w-1}h(w-1)h_w \frac{n^{wh+1}}{wh+1}}{h_w n^{hw} n} = k_{w-1}\frac{h(w-1)}{wh+1} = k_w.$$

Therefore (4.6) is valid. □

The following lemma contains the basic equation for the number of N-cliques.

Lemma 4.2.

$$\mathbb{E}\{K_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} = \varrho_{n-1}K_{n-1} + B, \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$\varrho_{n-1} = 1-(1-p)(1-q)\left(1-\frac{1}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{N}}\right) \quad \textit{and} \quad B = 1-h. \tag{4.15}$$

Proof. As the number of N-cliques increases if and only if when the number of N-cliques of weight 1 increases, therefore (4.5) implies

$$\mathbb{E}\{K_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} = K_{n-1} + p + (1-p)(1-q)\left(1-\frac{K_{n-1}}{\binom{V_{n-1}}{N}}\right).$$

So we obtain (4.14). □

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $0 < p \le 1$. *Then*

$$\frac{K_n}{n} \to B \tag{4.16}$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$, *where* B *is defined by* (4.15).

Proof. First we remark that $\varrho_n > 0$ because $V_n \ge N$. Introduce notation

$$Q_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\varrho_i)^{-1}, \quad n \ge 1. \tag{4.17}$$

Then Q_n is an $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$-measurable random variable. Let

$$Z_n = Q_n K_n \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le n.$$

Using (4.14), we see that

$$\mathbb{E}\{Z_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} = Z_{n-1} + BQ_n. \tag{4.18}$$

Therefore $\{Z_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n = 1, 2, , \dots\}$ is a non-negative submartingale. Let A_n be the predictable increasing process in the Doob-Meyer decomposition of Z_n. Then, by (3.8) and (4.18), we obtain

$$A_n = \mathbb{E}Z_1 + B\sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i. \tag{4.19}$$

Using (3.6) and the Taylor expansion for $\log(1+x)$, we can obtain upper and lower bounds for Q_i. Then

$$C_1 n < A_n < C_2 n,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are finite positive constants. Let B_n be the sum of the conditional variances of the process Z_n. We have the following upper bound for B_n

$$B_n \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i^2 \leq C_3 n, \tag{4.20}$$

where C_3 is a fixed finite constant. Above we used that Q_i is $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$-measurable and at each step at most one N-clique is involved in interaction. Therefore $B_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log B_n = \mathrm{O}(A_n)$. It follows from Proposition VII-2-4 of [10] that

$$Z_n \sim A_n \quad \text{a.s. on the event} \quad \{A_n \to \infty\} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{4.21}$$

As $A_n \to \infty$ a.s., therefore

$$\frac{K_n}{n} = \frac{Z_n}{Q_n n} \sim \frac{A_n}{Q_n n} = \frac{\mathbb{E}Z_1}{Q_n n} + B\frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{Q_n}\sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i \to B \quad \text{a.s.}$$

because the sequence Q_n is positive, increasing and has a finite limit. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Theorems 4.2 and 4.3,

$$\frac{K(n,w)}{K_n} = \frac{K(n,w)}{n} \Big/ \frac{K_n}{n} \to \frac{k_w}{B} = t_w$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore,

$$t_1 = \frac{k_1}{B} = \frac{1}{h+1}.$$

Dividing both sides of (4.7) by B, we obtain

$$t_w = \frac{(w-1)h}{wh+1} t_{w-1}, \qquad w > 1.$$

Using this equation, we see that

$$t_w = \frac{1}{h}\frac{\Gamma(w)\,\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+w+\frac{1}{h}\right)}.$$

Now, by the Stirling formula,

$$t_w \sim \frac{1}{h}\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right) w^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right)} \tag{4.22}$$

as $w \to \infty$. We can see that $\sum_{w=1}^{\infty} t_w = 1$. Therefore the sequence $t_1, t_2, \ldots$ is a proper discrete probability distribution. □

5 Numerical results

Here we present some numerical results. The 3-interactions model was generated with parameters $p = 0.5$, $q = 0.5$, $r = 0.5$. The number of evolution steps was $n = 10^7$. We applied log-log scale to visualize scale-free property. The diamonds show the simulation results. The solid lines come from the recursive relations. The dotted lines show the slope (that is the exponent of the power law). We obtained that the simulation results fit well to the solid lines which support our theoretical results. The degree distribution of the vertices is given on Figure 1, the weight distribution of the vertices on Figure 2, the weight distribution of the edges on Figure 3 and finally the weight distribution of the triangles is presented on Figure 4. Figures 2, 3 and 4 offer numerical evidence for Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, respectively. Figure 1 corresponds to Theorem 4.3 of [3] where the power law degree distribution was presented.



Figure 1: The degree distribution of the vertices



Figure 2: The weight distribution of the vertices

Acknowledgement. We thank the referee for contributing many comments that helped us to correct some mistakes and to clarify the exposition.



Figure 3: The weight distribution of the edges



Figure 4: The weight distribution of the triangles

References

[1] Backhausz, Á. *Analysis of random graphs with methods of martingale theory.* PhD thesis, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, 2012.

[2] Backhausz, Á., Móri, T. F. A random graph model based on 3-interactions. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput.* **36** (2012), 41–52.

[3] Backhausz, Á., Móri, T. F. Weights and degrees in a random graph model based on 3-interactions. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **143**, no. 1 (2014), 23–43.

[4] Barabási, A.L., Albert, R. Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*, **286** (1999), 509–512.

[5] Bollobás, B., Riordan, O., Spencer, J., Tusnády, G. The degree sequence of a scale-free random graph process. *Random Structures Algorithms*, **18** (2001), 279–290.

[6] Durrett, R. *Random graph dynamics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2007.

[7] Fazekas, I., Noszály, Cs. On a class of random graphs. *Manuscript*, Debrecen, 2014.

[8] Fazekas, I., Porvázsnyik, B., Scale-free property for degrees and weights in a preferential attachment random graph model, *Journal of Probability and Statistics*, Vol. 2013 (2013), Article ID 707960.

[9] Fazekas, I., Porvázsnyik, B., Scale-free property for degrees and weights in an N-interaction random graph model. *arXiv*:1309.4258v1 [math.PR] 17 Sep. 2013.

[10] Neveu, J. *Discrete-parameter martingales.* North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.

[11] Prudnikov, A. P.; Brychkov, Yu. A.; Marichev, O. I. *Integrals and series.* Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1986.